

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA COUNTY
STATE OF OKLAHOMA

JESS EDDY,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF OKLAHOMA CITY,

Defendant.

CASE NO:

CV-2021-319

FILED IN DISTRICT COURT
OKLAHOMA COUNTY

FEB 22 2021

RICK WARREN
COURT CLERK

124

**PETITION FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
AND WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

COMES NOW Plaintiff, Jess Eddy, *pro se* before this Court, and for his causes of action pursuant to the Oklahoma Open Records Act against Defendant, City of Oklahoma City, hereby requests this Court enter an order granting the declaratory and injunctive relief as specified herein and issuing a Writ of Mandamus as specified herein directing the public body Defendant to immediately produce the subject records to Plaintiff or show cause as to why the records should not be produced. In support of this Petition, Plaintiff would respectfully show the Court as follows:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Plaintiff Jess Eddy ("Plaintiff") is a resident of the City of Oklahoma City and is publicly associated with the exercise of protected speech regarding Defendant's law enforcement practices and performance.

2. Defendant City of Oklahoma City ("City") is a municipality operating in Oklahoma County, State of Oklahoma, which has the power to sue and be sued and may

be served within boundaries of Oklahoma County of Oklahoma. The City is a “public bod[y]” as defined by the Open Records Act (“Act”), Okla. Stat. tit. 51, §24A.3(2). The Oklahoma City Police Department (“OKCPD”) is a department of the City and is a “law enforcement agency” as defined by the Act at §24A.3(5).

3. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court as this a civil action seeking declaratory, injunctive, and mandamus relief under the laws of the State of Oklahoma.

4. Venue is proper in this Court as all acts and omissions alleged, herein, and giving rise to this action occurred within the boundaries of Oklahoma County.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

5. At issue to this lawsuit and in accordance with the Act, on November 5, 2020 Plaintiff transmitted 7 requests for records, herein referred to as “Requests 1 through 7,” to the City of Oklahoma City, and Plaintiff transmitted an eighth records request, “Request 8,” on November 30, 2020. Plaintiff’s Exhibit 1, Plaintiff’s Affidavit, ¶ 10.I-II, Attachments 1, 2.

6. The City received Requests 1-7 on November 6, 2020 and Request 8 on November 30, 2020. Pl.’s Aff., ¶ 10.III, Attachment 3.

7. On information and belief, the City does not attempt to search for responsive records in the form of cellular device text message contained on City employee/official’s cellular devices (personal and City-owned). Pl.’s Aff., ¶ 10.VIII, Attachment 8.

8. On information and belief, for the purposes of identifying and collecting records of electronic communications, the City only performs searches of City email correspondence by and through City server searches. *Id.*

9. A record of an electronic communication, other than email transmitted on a City email account, such as a text message, email sent and/or received through a private account, messages sent and/or received via a social media platform, which contains information that is related to the business of the employee/official of the City making or receiving the communication is a public record.

10. On information and belief, the unlawful conduct, acts, events, and/or omissions, alleged herein, that Plaintiff has experienced are revealing of widespread unlawful policies, practices, customs, and further forms of non-compliance by the City with the Act.

11. On information and belief, the City is delaying responses to Plaintiff's requests in retaliation for his making requests, public speech critical of the City in relation to the requests and in general, and for Plaintiff's threatening litigation responsive to perceived violations of the law by the City.

12. Plaintiff has reason to believe the violations will continue absent the relief requested herein for reasons not limited to the City's pattern of violations of the Act as alleged.

13. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the statements contained in Exhibit 1, Plaintiff's Affidavit, as if fully set forth herein.

CAUSES OF ACTION

OPEN RECORDS ACT VIOLATIONS

14. All claims are presented against the City of Oklahoma City pursuant to the Oklahoma Open Records Act. 51 O.S. § 24A.17.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Request 1

15. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-14 as if fully set forth herein.

16. This cause of action arises from the City's failure to comply with the Act in response to Request 1, which seeks records of communications between Mayor David Holt and OKCPD Chief of Police, Wade Gourley ("Chief Gourley"). Pl.'s Aff., ¶ 10.I, Att. 1

17. In response to Plaintiff's Request 1, the City of Oklahoma City provided Plaintiff with a heavily redacted email. Pl.'s Aff., ¶ 10.IV, Att. 4, p. 19. The document is an email transmission from Mayor David Holt to Chief Gourley and Oklahoma County District Attorney, David Prater. *Id.*

18. The City did not establish a factual basis for the redaction in any way. *But see* Pl.'s Aff., ¶ 10.IV, 10.V, Att. 4, 5.

19. The City did not establish which statutory exemption the material was redacted in accordance with. *But see Id.*

20. The City unlawfully redacted a public record such that Plaintiff was deprived of his right to review the public record.

21. As a result, Plaintiff has been injured and is entitled to relief.

22. Only after Plaintiff's advisement of the violation did the City provide Plaintiff with an unredacted version of the email. Pl.'s Aff., ¶ 10.V, 10.VI, Att. 5, 6.

23. Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief such that that this Court declare the City's redactions to have been in violation of the Act.

24. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief such that that this Court enjoin the City in perpetuity from redacting public records without identifying the statutory basis for the exemption permitting the redaction and a factual basis establishing the exemption is appropriately applied.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Request 2

25. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-24 as if fully set forth herein.

26. This cause of action arises from the City's failure to comply with the Act in response to Plaintiff's Request 2, which seeks electronic communications between the City Manager and employees of the Fraternal Order of Police ("FOP"). Pl.'s Aff., ¶ 10.I, Att. 1, p. 2.

27. Substantial public attention and controversy concerning the relationship between the City and the FOP exists presently and has at all times relevant.

28. The records requested by Plaintiff are of immediate and significant concern to the public.

29. At the time of the commencement of this action, the City has not made the documents requested by Plaintiff available for inspection and copying in accordance with the Act

30. The City advised Plaintiff on December 16, 2020 the City anticipated making the records requested by Request 2 available to Plaintiff on December 31, 2020. Pl.'s Aff., Att. 5, p. 6.

31. The City has now had 109 days—three and a half months—to comply with the Act.

32. 109 days is sufficient time for the City to identify, collect, review and make available the records requested by Request 2.

33. The City has violated the Act, and this violation is ongoing.

34. As a result, Plaintiff has been injured and is entitled to relief.

35. Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief such that that this Court declare the City's omissions to make available the requested documents to constitute an inordinate and unreasonable delay, in violation of the Act's prompt and reasonable temporal standard.

36. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief such that that this Court enjoin the City from extending the ongoing and unlawful delay.

37. Plaintiff seeks mandamus relief such that this Court order the City to immediately make available to Plaintiff the records requested or show cause as to why the records should not be made available.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Request 3

38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-37 as if fully set forth herein.

39. This cause of action arises from the City's failure to comply with the Act in response to Plaintiff's Request 3, which seeks electronic communications between the City Manager and any deputy chief and/or the Chief Gourley. Pl.'s Aff., ¶ 10.I, Att. 1, p. 3.

40. Significant public attention and controversy concerning the City Manager's supervision of and relationship with OKCPD administration exists presently and has at all times relevant.

41. The City Manager is the sole non-police employee of the City with supervisory power over the Police Chief.

42. The records requested by Plaintiff are of immediate and significant concern to the public.

43. The City advised Plaintiff on December 16, 2020 the City anticipated making the records requested by Request 2 available to Plaintiff on December 31, 2020. Pl.'s Aff., Att. 5, p. 6.

44. At the time of the commencement of this action, the City has not made the documents requested by Plaintiff available to Plaintiff.

45. The City has now had 109 days—three and a half months—to comply with the Act.

46. 109 days is sufficient time for the City to identify, collect, review and make available the records requested by Request 3.

47. The City has violated the Act, and this violation is ongoing.

48. As a result, Plaintiff has been injured and is entitled to relief.

49. Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief such that that this Court declare the City's omissions to make available the requested documents to constitute an inordinate and unreasonable delay, in violation of the Act's prompt and reasonable temporal standard.

50. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief such that that this Court enjoin the City from extending the ongoing and unlawful delay.

51. Plaintiff seeks mandamus relief such that this Court order the City to immediately make available to Plaintiff the records requested or show cause as to why the records should not be made available.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
Request 4

52. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-51 as if fully set forth herein.

53. This cause of action arises from the City's failure to comply with the Act in response to Plaintiff's Request 4, which seeks records of the name and badge number of every OKCPD officer who was subject to disciplinary action between January 1, 2016 and November 5, 2020. Pl.'s Aff., ¶ 10.II, Att. 2, p. 1.

54. On or about February 2, 2021, Plaintiff received a document from the OKCPD Records Unit which was a list of officers receiving disciplinary action throughout the requested time span. Pl.'s Aff., ¶ 10.VII, Att. 7.

55. Prior to redaction, the list included the first and last names of the officer, their badge number, the action taken, and the incident date. Pl.'s Aff., Att. 7.

56. The names and badge numbers for almost all of the several hundred officers listed were redacted from the documents Plaintiff received. *Id.*

57. The City failed to establish which statutory exemption it relied upon to redact.

58. The City abused and is abusing its discretion to release or not release the requested records under the Act *if* it has the discretion to do so.

59. The information requested and redacted by Plaintiff is information that is of a significant and immediate concern to the public.

60. Per capita, OKCPD is the second deadliest police department in the United States, and disciplinary records contain information critical to the public and Plaintiff's ability to be informed of its government, assess the practices of this public body, and exercise its inherent political power to alter or change the public body.

61. OKCPD is presently, and has been for a substantial period of time prior, the recipient of widespread public attention arising from its officers' uses of force, its use of force investigations and outcomes, and its disciplinary practices in conjunction with minimizing use of force and use of deadly force.

62. The City has violated the Act, and this violation is ongoing.

63. As a result, Plaintiff has been injured and is entitled to relief.

64. Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief such that that this Court declare the City's acts and/or omissions in redacting public records without identifying the statutory exemption permitting the redaction is unlawful.

65. Alternatively, in the event the City asserts the disciplinary records Plaintiff seeks are personnel records the City *may* keep confidential, Plaintiff would seek declaratory relief such that that this Court declare the City has abused its discretion in redacting the names and badge numbers of its police officers subject to discipline and/or

that the City does not have the discretion to keep confidential disciplinary records of its law enforcement officers.

66. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief such that that this Court enjoin the City in perpetuity from withholding records identifying officers who have been subject to discipline and the nature of the discipline in the future.

67. Plaintiff seeks mandamus relief such that this Court order the City immediately furnish to Plaintiff the unredacted versions of records provided to Plaintiff or show cause as to why the records should remain redacted.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
Request 5

68. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-67 as if fully set forth herein.

69. This cause of action arises from the City's failure to comply with the Act in response to Plaintiff's Request 5, which seeks records in OKCPD's possession that include variations of Plaintiff's legal name, "Jess Eddy". Pl.'s Aff., ¶ 10.II, Att. 2, p. 2.

70. At the time of the commencement of this action, the City has not made the documents requested by Plaintiff available for inspection and copying in accordance with the Act.

71. The City has had now had 109 days—three and a half months—to comply with the Act.

72. 109 days is sufficient time for the City to identify, collect, review and make available the records requested by Request 5.

73. The City has violated the Act, and this violation is ongoing.

74. As a result, Plaintiff has been injured and is entitled to relief.

75. Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief such that that this Court declare the City's omissions to make available the requested documents to constitute an inordinate and unreasonable delay, in violation of the Act's prompt and reasonable temporal standard.

76. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief such that that this Court enjoin the City from extending the ongoing and unlawful delay.

77. Plaintiff seeks mandamus relief such that this Court order the City to immediately make available to Plaintiff the records requested by Request 5 or show cause as to why the records should not be made available.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Request 6

78. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-77 as if fully set forth herein.

79. This cause of action arises from the City's failure to comply with the Act in response to Plaintiff's Request 6, which seeks records of all electronic communications sent by Chief Gourley within the scope of his employment between the dates of May 29, 2020 and July 1, 2020. Pl.'s Aff., ¶ 10.II, Att. 2, p. 3.

80. Records of electronic communications transmitted by Chief Gourley within the scope of his employment are public records, unless the City shows they are exempt.

81. At the time of the commencement of this action, the City has not made the documents requested by Plaintiff available to Plaintiff.

82. The City has had now had 109 days—three and a half months—to comply with the Act.

83. 109 days is sufficient time for the City to identify, collect, review and make available the records requested by Request 6.

84. Substantial public attention and controversy concerning Chief Gourley's performance at OKCPD exists presently and has at all times relevant.

85. The Chief of Police has responsibility for all policies, practices, customs, norms, rules, regulations of OKCPD and the performance of all subordinate officers acting within the scope of their employment.

86. Per capita, OKCPD is the second deadliest police department in the United States, and records of Chief Gourley's act(s)/omission(s) in the performance of his work are exceptionally critical to the public and Plaintiff's ability to be informed of OKCPD, evaluate the performance of the chief administrator of OKCPD, and exercise the inherent political power to alter or change OKCPD.

87. The City is and has been denying Plaintiff and the public the inherent right to be fully informed about their government.

88. The City has violated the Act, and this violation is ongoing.

89. As a result, Plaintiff has been injured and is entitled to relief.

90. Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief such that that this Court declare the City's omissions to make available the requested records to constitute an inordinate and unreasonable delay, in violation of the Act's prompt and reasonable temporal standard.

91. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief such that that this Court enjoin the City from extending the ongoing and unlawful delay.

92. Plaintiff seeks mandamus relief such that this Court order the City to immediately make available to Plaintiff the records requested by Request 6 or show cause as to why the records should not be made available.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
Request 7

93. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-92 as if fully set forth herein.

94. This cause of action arises from the City's failure to comply with Act in response to Plaintiff's Request 7, which seeks records of all use-of-force reports generated at OKCPD between January 1, 2020 and November 5, 2020. Pl.'s Aff., ¶ 10.II, Att. 2, p. 4.

95. At the time of the commencement of this action, the City has not made the documents requested by Plaintiff available to Plaintiff.

96. The City has now had 109 days—three and a half months—to comply with the Act.

97. 109 days is sufficient time for the City to identify, collect, review and make available the records requested by Request 6.

98. The police department's publicly known uses-of-force throughout the year of 2020—and prior—have been subject to significant and widespread public attention and controversy.

99. Per capita, OKCPD is the second deadliest police department in the United States.

100. Use-of-force data is the single-most critical dataset of law enforcement performance utilized by the public to evaluate a law enforcement agency.

101. Access to use-of-force data of OKCPD is critical to Plaintiff and the public's right to be informed as to the business and performance of OKCPD and the right to exercise political power to alter or change OKCPD for the betterment of the population it serves.

102. The City has violated the Act, and this violation is ongoing.

103. As a result, Plaintiff has been injured and is entitled to relief.

104. Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief such that that this Court declare the City's omissions to make available the requested records to constitute an inordinate and unreasonable delay, in violation of the Act's prompt and reasonable temporal standard.

105. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief such that that this Court enjoin the City from extending the ongoing and unlawful delay.

106. Plaintiff seeks mandamus relief such that this Court order the City to immediately make available to Plaintiff the records requested by Request 7.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Request 8

107. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-106 as if fully set forth herein.

108. This cause of action arises from the City's failure to comply with the Act in response to Plaintiff's Request 8, which seeks records relating to the OKCPD shooting death of Stavian Rodriguez on November 23, 2020. Pl.'s Aff., ¶ 10.III, Att. 3, p. 1-2.

109. Specifically, Plaintiff requested related records of:

a. body-worn camera recordings,

- b. any further video recordings,
- c. dashcam recordings,
- d. audio recordings of radio transmissions,
- e. use of force reports,
- f. investigative reports,
- g. witness statements,
- h. probable cause affidavits and prior drafts,
- i. names and badge numbers of officers involved, and
- j. disciplinary records of officers involved.

110. The records described above are records the public is entitled to pursuant to the Act.

111. At the time of the commencement of this action, the City has not made the documents requested by Plaintiff available to Plaintiff.

112. The City has now had 85 days—two and a half months—to comply with the Act.

113. 85 days is more than sufficient time for the City to identify, collect, review and make available the records requested by Request 6.

114. The shooting death of Stavian Rodriguez by OKCPD has garnered significant public attention and controversy.

115. OKCPD's investigation into the shooting death of Stavian Rodriguez has concluded.

116. The fulfillment of this request will not compromise any related ongoing criminal investigation(s).

117. It has been more than 10 days since a defendant in the matter was formally arraigned in this Court on charges of murder arising from the shooting death of Stavian Rodriguez.

118. The records will not identify any officers involved as OKCPD has already publicly identified officers involved by and through information released to the media and public.

119. OKCPD officer(s) have made public statements regarding material facts of the event(s).

120. Video footage capturing the shooting has previously been released by media outlets and private members of the public who were present on the scene.

121. Two of the OKCPD officers who shot Stavian Rodriguez have shot and killed persons before.

122. The City has not responded to Plaintiff's Request 8 but has responded to other public individual(s) and/or entit(y/ies) requests for the same or similar.

123. The City is unlawfully denying and/or withholding from Plaintiff records requested by Request 8.

124. Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief such that that this Court declare the City's omissions to make available the requested records to constitute an inordinate and unreasonable delay, in violation of the Act's prompt and reasonable temporal standard.


125. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief such that that this Court enjoin the City from extending the ongoing and unlawful delay.

126. Plaintiff seeks mandamus relief such that this Court order the City to immediately make available to Plaintiff the records requested by Request 8 or show cause as to why the records should not be made available.

WHEREFORE, premises considered and for the reasons set forth herein, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court enter an order:

- A. declaring the City's actions and omissions, as specified and alleged herein, to be in violation of the law;
- B. enjoining the City from further violation, as specified;
- C. issuing a Writ of Mandamus to demand the City make available to Plaintiff the records at issue or show cause as to why they should not be made available; and
- D. awarding Plaintiff the costs of this litigation and reasonable fees, together with any further legal and/or equitable relief the Court determines to be appropriate under the laws of the State of Oklahoma.

Respectfully,



Jess Eddy
419 W. Hill Street
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73118
Phone: 405.627.1801
Email: jesseddy@icloud.com

Plaintiff

5. I have been injured through the deprivation of rights guaranteed by the Oklahoma Constitution, its Bill of Rights, and the Oklahoma Open Records Act by act(s) and/or omission(s) of the City of Oklahoma City, Defendant in the above-styled case.

6. Deprivation of the right to access public records is a grave and substantial injury.

7. Plaintiff's injury is an individual representative of the broader injury to the public, and a ruling by this Court to grant injunctive relief/temporary restraining order in favor of Plaintiff is, therefore, in the interest of the public.

8. If injunctive relief/temporary restraining order is not granted, Plaintiff and the public will suffer further substantial harm until such a time a dispositive ruling is achieved in Plaintiff's favor in this case.

9. Injunctive relief/temporary restraining order is necessary and proper as Plaintiff is likely to prevail on the merits in this case.

10. As described below, attached to this affidavit are copies of documents relevant to this lawsuit, and I do testify to their being true and accurate copies of original documents:

- I. Attachment 1 contains copies of my November 5, 2020 requests that were transmitted to the City Clerk of Oklahoma City via email, therein labeled and numbered "Requests 1 through 3," and copies of documents which affirmatively indicate the requests' transmission and City's receipt thereof on November 6, 2020. At Page 5, Attachment 1 contains a document

indicating the City's rule, regulation, custom, and/or practice of timely fulfillment of records requests.

- II. Attachment 2 contains copies of my November 5, 2020 requests that were transmitted, via certified mail of the United States Postal Service, to the Records Unit of the Oklahoma City Police Department, therein labeled and numbered "Requests 4 through 7," and copies of documents which affirmatively indicate the requests' transmission and City's receipt of the requests on November 6, 2020.
- III. Attachment 3 contains a copy of my November 30, 2020 request, therein labeled and numbered "Request 8," that was submitted to the City via the police department's online records request portal at <https://oklahomacityok.justfoia.com/Forms/Launch/8bceebdf-37b1-4d13-8535-86299b1dd659> and copies of documents affirmatively indicating the request was submitted and received by the City on November 30, 2020.
- IV. Attachment 4 contains documents the City provided to me on December 16, 2020 responsive to Request 1. Giving rise to Plaintiff's First Cause of Action in his lawsuit, it includes a redacted record at page 19.
- V. Attachment 5 contains documents which are email correspondence:
 - a. between myself and agents of the City, including attorneys and non-attorneys,
 - b. relating to records provided by the City responsive to Request 1,
 - c. transmitted between December 16 and 22 of 2020,

- d. in which I advised the City its redactions were in violation of Oklahoma Open Records Act law, and
- e. in which the City advised it would produce an unredacted version of an email communication between Oklahoma County District Attorney David Prater, Mayor David Holt, and Chief Wade Gourley.


- VI. Attachment 6 contains a December 22, 2020 email from an attorney for the City and its attachment, the unredacted version of the previously redacted email, described in Paragraph 10.V.d above.
- VII. Attachment 7 contains heavily redacted records of disciplinary actions that I received from the City on or about February 2, 2020 in response to Request 4. The redactions conceal the names and badge numbers of the officers listed.
- VIII. Attachment 8 is a February 18, 2021 request from Plaintiff and email responses from the City thereto. Page 3 indicates that the City's information technology department requires email accounts and search terms for the fulfillment of the request. The City relies on searches of email accounts on City servers by its information technology department and does not attempt to identify and collect responsive electronic correspondence that may be transmitted through information mediums other than City email accounts.



Jess Eddy, Plaintiff

Subscribed and sworn to me this 22 day of February 2020 by Jess Eddy.





Notary Public

My Commission No.: 17008214

My Commission Expires: 8-31-2021

Jess Eddy
419 W. Hill St.
Oklahoma City, OK 73118
405-627-1801
jesseddy@icloud.com

November 5, 2020

Delivered via Electronic Mail to:

City Clerk's Office
200 N. Walker
2nd Floor
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
Email: cityclerk@okc.gov

Re: Request for access to records under the Oklahoma Open Records Act

To Whom It May Concern:

Please consider this a request under the Oklahoma Open Records Act, Title 51 O.S. § 24A.1-29, for records of each and every electronic communication exchanged between Mayor David Holt and the Chief and/or any deputy chief of the Oklahoma City Police Department between May 29, 2020 and the present. This request includes all messages transmitted on electronic devices and records of telephone calls. This request is not for commercial purposes. Electronic records are requested. Please advise of the cost of the production.

According to 51 O.S. § 24A.5, a public body "must provide prompt, reasonable access to its records."

The Oklahoma Open Records Act states that except for where "specific state or federal statutes create a confidential privilege, persons who submit information to public bodies have no right to keep this information from public access" and that if an agency is to deny a request it must "at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege." Additionally, "Any reasonably segregable portion of a record containing exempt material shall be provided after deletion of the exempt portions."

Should this request be denied, please respond in writing and cite the specific law(s) which exempts release. ORA states that public entities "shall at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege."

Thank you,



Jess Eddy

Jess Eddy
419 W. Hill St.
Oklahoma City, OK 73118
405-627-1801
jesseddy@icloud.com

November 5, 2020

Delivered via Electronic Mail to:

City Clerk's Office
200 N. Walker
2nd Floor
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
Email: cityclerk@okc.gov

Re: Request for access to records under the Oklahoma Open Records Act


To Whom It May Concern:

Please consider this a request under the Oklahoma Open Records Act, Title 51 O.S. § 24A.1-29, for records of each and every electronic communication exchanged between City Manager Craig Freeman and/or any member of his staff and any employee of the Fraternal Order of Police between March 15, 2020 and the present. This request includes all messages transmitted on electronic devices and records of telephone calls. This request is not for commercial purposes. Electronic records are requested. Please advise of the cost of the production.

According to 51 O.S. § 24A.5, a public body "must provide prompt, reasonable access to its records."

The Oklahoma Open Records Act states that except for where "specific state or federal statutes create a confidential privilege, persons who submit information to public bodies have no right to keep this information from public access" and that if an agency is to deny a request it must "at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege." Additionally, "Any reasonably segregable portion of a record containing exempt material shall be provided after deletion of the exempt portions."

Should this request be denied, please respond in writing and cite the specific law(s) which exempts release. ORA states that public entities "shall at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege."

Thank you,

Jess Eddy

Jess Eddy
419 W. Hill St.
Oklahoma City, OK 73118
405-627-1801
jesseddy@icloud.com

November 5, 2020

Delivered via Electronic Mail to:

City Clerk's Office
200 N. Walker
2nd Floor
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
Email: cityclerk@okc.gov

Re: Request for access to records under the Oklahoma Open Records Act


To Whom It May Concern:

Please consider this a request under the Oklahoma Open Records Act, Title 51 O.S. § 24A.1-29, for records of each and every electronic communication exchanged between Oklahoma City City Manager Craig Freeman and any employee of the Oklahoma City Police Department since March 15, 2020 and the present. This request is not for commercial purposes. Electronic records are requested. Please advise of the cost of the production.

According to 51 O.S. § 24A.5, a public body "must provide prompt, reasonable access to its records."

The Oklahoma Open Records Act states that except for where "specific state or federal statutes create a confidential privilege, persons who submit information to public bodies have no right to keep this information from public access" and that if an agency is to deny a request it must "at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege." Additionally, "Any reasonably segregable portion of a record containing exempt material shall be provided after deletion of the exempt portions."

Should this request be denied, please respond in writing and cite the specific law(s) which exempts release. ORA states that public entities "shall at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege."

Thank you

Jess Eddy

Records Requests

November 5, 2020 at 3:42 PM

From Jess Eddy

To cityclerk@okc.gov

  City Recor...uest No. 1.pdf 160.61 KB,  City Recor...uest No. 2.pdf 160.99 KB,
 City Recor...uest No. 3.pdf 160.83 KB,  City Recor...uest No. 4.pdf 161.28 KB

Hello,

Please find attached four (4) separate requests for records in the possession of the City of Oklahoma City.

Thank you,

Jess Eddy

Records Request Confirmation

November 6, 2020 at 8:55 AM

From JustFOIA Notification

To Jess Eddy

Your request for records, ORR-3733-2020 has been received.

Your request security key is [377425](#).

Your request reference number is [Request Number: ORR-3733-2020](#).

Please have this reference number available when communicating with our staff regarding your request.

Thank you,

The City of Oklahoma City

Requests to be processed by the Development Center may require approximately 14 business days to be completed. Records requiring legal review may require approximately 30 business days to be completed. All other requests for records will be completed within approximately 7 business days.

**Note: This is an automated email notification. Please do not respond to this email.*

Jess Eddy
419 W. Hill St.
Oklahoma City, OK 73118
405-627-1801
jesseddy@icloud.com

November 5, 2020

Delivered via Certified Mail to:

Records Unit
Oklahoma City Police Department
700 Colcord Drive
Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Re: Request for access to records under the Oklahoma Open Records Act

To Whom It May Concern:

Please consider this a request under the Oklahoma Open Records Act, Title 51 O.S. § 24A.1-29 for records which include the name and badge number of each and every officer of the Oklahoma City Police Department who was subject to a disciplinary action between January 1, 2016 and the present. This request is not for commercial purposes. Please advise of the cost of the production.

According to 51 O.S. § 24A.5, a public body "must provide prompt, reasonable access to its records."

The Oklahoma Open Records Act states that except for where "specific state or federal statutes create a confidential privilege, persons who submit information to public bodies have no right to keep this information from public access" and that if an agency is to deny a request it must "at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege." Additionally, "Any reasonably segregable portion of a record containing exempt material shall be provided after deletion of the exempt portions."

Should this request be denied, please respond in writing and cite the specific law(s) which exempts release. ORA states that public entities "shall at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege."

Thank you,

Jess Eddy

Jess Eddy
419 W. Hill St.
Oklahoma City, OK 73118
405-627-1801
jesseddy@icloud.com

November 5, 2020

Delivered via Certified Mail to:

Records Unit
Oklahoma City Police Department
700 Colcord Drive
Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Re: Request for access to records under the Oklahoma Open Records Act

To Whom It May Concern:

Please consider this a request under the Oklahoma Open Records Act, Title 51 O.S. § 24A.1-29, for records of each and every electronic communication sent by any employee of the Oklahoma City Police Department to any other employee of the City of Oklahoma City and/or the police department between May 30, 2020 and the present, which contain the terms "Jess Eddy," "Eddy" or "Mr. Eddy" or is in reference to "Jess Eddy." This request is not for commercial purposes. Please advise of the cost of the production.

According to 51 O.S. § 24A.5, a public body "must provide prompt, reasonable access to its records."

The Oklahoma Open Records Act states that except for where "specific state or federal statutes create a confidential privilege, persons who submit information to public bodies have no right to keep this information from public access" and that if an agency is to deny a request it must "at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege." Additionally, "Any reasonably segregable portion of a record containing exempt material shall be provided after deletion of the exempt portions."

Should this request be denied, please respond in writing and cite the specific law(s) which exempts release. ORA states that public entities "shall at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege."

Thank you,

Jess Eddy

Jess Eddy
419 W. Hill St.
Oklahoma City, OK 73118
405-627-1801
jesseddy@icloud.com

November 5, 2020

Delivered via Certified Mail to:

Records Unit
Oklahoma City Police Department
700 Colcord Drive
Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Re: Request for access to records under the Oklahoma Open Records Act

To Whom It May Concern:

Please consider this a request under the Oklahoma Open Records Act, Title 51 O.S. § 24A.1-29, for records of each and every electronic communication sent by Chief Wade Gourley within the scope of his employment at the Oklahoma City Police Department between the dates of May 29, 2020 and July 1, 2020. This request is not for commercial purposes. Electronic records are requested. Please advise of the cost of the production.

According to 51 O.S. § 24A.5, a public body "must provide prompt, reasonable access to its records."

The Oklahoma Open Records Act states that except for where "specific state or federal statutes create a confidential privilege, persons who submit information to public bodies have no right to keep this information from public access" and that if an agency is to deny a request it must "at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege." Additionally, "Any reasonably segregable portion of a record containing exempt material shall be provided after deletion of the exempt portions."

Should this request be denied, please respond in writing and cite the specific law(s) which exempts release. ORA states that public entities "shall at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege."

Thank you,

Jess Eddy

Jess Eddy
419 W. Hill St.
Oklahoma City, OK 73118
405-627-1801
jesseddy@icloud.com

November 5, 2020

Delivered via Certified Mail to:

Records Unit
Oklahoma City Police Department
700 Colcord Drive
Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Re: Request for access to records under the Oklahoma Open Records Act

To Whom It May Concern:

Please consider this a request under the Oklahoma Open Records Act, Title 51 O.S. § 24A.1-29, for all records of use-of-force reports generated at the Oklahoma City Police Department between January 1, 2020 and the present. This request is not for commercial purposes. Electronic records are requested. Please advise of the cost of the production.



According to 51 O.S. § 24A.5, a public body "must provide prompt, reasonable access to its records."

The Oklahoma Open Records Act states that except for where "specific state or federal statutes create a confidential privilege, persons who submit information to public bodies have no right to keep this information from public access" and that if an agency is to deny a request it must "at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege." Additionally, "Any reasonably segregable portion of a record containing exempt material shall be provided after deletion of the exempt portions."

Should this request be denied, please respond in writing and cite the specific law(s) which exempts release. ORA states that public entities "shall at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege."

Thank you,

Jess Eddy

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY												
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	<p>A. Signature  <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p> <p>B. Received by (Printed Name)</p> <p>C. Date of Delivery </p>												
<p>1. Article Addressed to:</p> <p><i>Records Unit Oklahoma City Police Dept. 700 Colcord Dr. Oklahoma City, Ok 73102</i></p>  9590 9402 4492 8248 2621 84	<p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>												
<p>2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)</p> <p>7018 2290 0001 3323 2906</p>	<p>3. Service Type</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery</td> </tr> </table>	<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature	<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®	<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise	<input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™	<input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature	<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®												
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™												
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery												
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise												
<input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™												
<input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery												

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt

USPS Tracking®

[FAQs >](#)

Track Another Package +

Get the free Informed Delivery® feature to receive automated notifications on your packages

Learn More

([https://reg.usps.com/xsell?](https://reg.usps.com/xsell?app=U:psTools&ref=homepageBanner&appURL=https%3A%2F%2Finformeddelivery.usps.com/box/pages/intro/st)
<https://reg.usps.com/xsell?app=U:psTools&ref=homepageBanner&appURL=https%3A%2F%2Finformeddelivery.usps.com/box/pages/intro/st>)

Tracking Number: 70182290000133232906

[Remove X](#)

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 11:22 am on November 6, 2020 in OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102.

Delivered

November 6, 2020 at 11:22 am
Delivered, Left with Individual
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102

Get Updates 

Text & Email Updates



Tracking History



November 6, 2020, 11:22 am

Delivered, Left with Individual
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 11:22 am on November 6, 2020 in OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102.

November 6, 2020, 7:10 am

Out for Delivery
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102

Feedback

November 6, 2020, 6:13 am

Arrived at Post Office
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102

November 5, 2020, 11:44 pm

Arrived at USPS Regional Facility
OKLAHOMA CITY OK DISTRIBUTION CENTER

November 5, 2020, 7:06 pm

Departed Post Office
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102

November 5, 2020, 4:07 pm

USPS in possession of item
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102

Product Information



Postal Product:
Priority Mail®

Features:
Certified Mail™
Up to \$50 insurance included. Restrictions Apply ⓘ

See tracking for related item:
9590940244928248262184
(/go/TrackConfirmAction?tLabels=9590940244928248262184)

See Less ^

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

FAQs

OCPD RECORDS REQUEST

November 9, 2020 at 9:03 AM

From "Jo Spriggs (Oklahoma City, OK)"

To Jess Eddy

All requests will completed if or when documents are available.

Your registered letters has been received at the OCPD Bureau of Records. You will be contacted if or when it becomes available.

On Thu, Nov 05, 2020 at 1:15 pm, jo.spriggs wrote:

RE: JESS EDDY OCPD-9642-2020

Please be advised your request has been received at the OCPD Bureau of Records. You will be contacted if or when it becomes available.

Jess Eddy
419 W. Hill St.
Oklahoma City, OK 73118
405-627-1801
jesseddy@icloud.com

November 30, 2020

Records Unit
Oklahoma City Police Department
700 Colcord Drive
Oklahoma City, OK 73102

RE: Request for Records Under the Oklahoma Open Records Act

To Whom It May Concern:

Please consider this a request under the Oklahoma Open Records Act, Title 51 O.S. § 24A.1-29, for all records related to the incidents of a reported armed robbery and response which resulted in the death of Stavian Rodriguez on November 23, 2020, including but not limited to such records as further described below:

- Bodycam records from all officers who responded to the related report of armed robbery, including all bodycam records from bodycams that were on prior to, during, and after the shooting and including bodycam records which specifically captured the shooting
- Any further video capturing events leading up to the shooting, the shooting itself, and any acts by any OKCPD officer after the shooting
- Dashcam records which capture the shooting
- Audio records of radio transmissions related to the incidents
- Use of force reports
- Investigative reports
- Witness statements
- Probable cause affidavits and prior drafts
- Names and badge numbers of officers involved
- Disciplinary records of involved officers

This request is not for commercial purposes. Electronic records are requested. Please advise of the cost of the production.

According to 51 O.S. § 24A.5, a public body "must provide prompt, reasonable access to its records."

The Oklahoma Open Records Act states that except for where "specific state or federal statutes create a confidential privilege, persons who submit information to public bodies have no right to keep this information from public access" and that if an agency is to deny a request it must "at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege." Additionally, "Any reasonably segregable portion of a record containing exempt material shall be provided after deletion of the exempt portions."

Should this request be denied, please respond in writing and cite the specific law(s) which

exempts release. ORA states that public entities "shall at all times bear the burden of establishing such records are protected by such a confidential privilege."

Thank you,



Jess Eddy

Request #OCPD-10675-2020

Please see attached record request.

i **Details**

Submitted

Mon, Nov 30, 2020

Status

Completed

PD - Type of Request

Other (please specify in description)

Email

jesseddy@icloud.com

Phone

4056271801

PD- other

Please see attached records request.

Description

Please see attached record request.

Name

Jess Eddy

Requested Documents

File ↑	↑ Description	↑ Size
No documents have been added		
Rows per page: 10 ▼ - < >		

This site is protected by reCAPTCHA and the Google [Privacy Policy](#) and [Terms of Service](#) apply.

ACCESSIBILITY

POWERED BY JUSTFOIA



New Request



Track



Search

From: JustFOIA Notification donotreply@request.justfoia.com
Subject: Records Request Confirmation
Date: November 30, 2020 at 4:52 PM
To: jesseddy@icloud.com



Your request for records, OCPD-10675-2020 has been received.

Your request security key is [108296](#).

Your request reference number is [Request Number: OCPD-10675-2020](#).

Please have this reference number available when communicating with our staff regarding your request.

Thank you,

The City of Oklahoma City

Requests to be processed by the Development Center may require approximately 14 business days to be completed. Records requiring legal review may require approximately 30 business days to be completed. All other requests for records will be completed within approximately 7 business days.

**Note: This is an automated email notification. Please do not respond to this email.*

From: [Holt, David F](#)
To: [Gourley, Wade R](#); [Tsoodle, Kenton E](#); [Hill, Steve](#); [Freeman, Craig A](#); [Baker, JD](#); [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Important Meeting on Police Reform and Racial Justice – Thursday, August 13, 2:00-5:00 PM EDT
Date: Wednesday, August 12, 2020 10:13:09 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)

If anyone is interested...

Mayor David Holt
City of Oklahoma City



From: Tom Cochran <actionalert@usmayors.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 11, 2020 1:59 PM
To: Holt, David F <david.holt@okc.gov>
Subject: Important Meeting on Police Reform and Racial Justice – Thursday, August 13, 2:00-5:00 PM EDT

[View this email in your browser](#)



TO: The Mayor

FROM: Tom Cochran, CEO and Executive Director

You, your police chief, and top staff are invited to join us for a meeting conducted by the Members of our Police Reform and Racial Justice Working Group to discuss their report and recommendations. The meeting will take place on Thursday, August 13 from 2:00-5:00 PM EDT.

[Register Here](#)

The meeting will be chaired by Chicago Mayor Lori E. Lightfoot, Chair of both the Working Group and our Criminal and Social Justice Committee. Joining her will be the other Working Group members – Cincinnati Mayor John Cranley, Tampa Mayor Jane Castor, Baltimore Police Commissioner Michael Harrison, Columbia, SC Police Chief W.H. Skip Holbrook, and Phoenix Police Chief Jeri Williams – and Working Group Advisors Charles Ramsey and Ron Davis.

The first hour of the meeting will provide an overview of the Working Group and its report and recommendations. The second hour will cover the allocation of public safety resources; the third hour will focus on building trust between communities and the police. A full agenda for the Thursday meeting will be sent to you tomorrow.

In the meantime, please direct any questions to Conference Public Safety Director Laura DeKoven Waxman at lwaxman@usmayors.org.




Copyright © 2020 The United States Conference of Mayors, All rights reserved.
To change your contact information, please visit <https://community.usmayors.org/>.

Our mailing address is:
The United States Conference of Mayors
1620 I St., N.W.
4th Floor
Washington, DC 20006

[Add us to your address book](#)

Want to change how you receive these emails?
[Update your contact information](#), [update your preferences](#), or [unsubscribe from this list](#)



From: [Gourley, Wade R](#)
To: [Carpenter, Amanda B](#); [Holt, David F](#); [Freeman, Craig A](#); [Tsoodle, Kenton E](#); [Yager, Kristy D](#)
Subject: [REDACTED]
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 6:32:06 PM

[REDACTED]

Wade Gourley
Office: 405-316-4770
Mobile: [REDACTED]

From: Carpenter, Amanda B <amanda.carpenter@okc.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:50 PM
To: Holt, David F; Freeman, Craig A; Tsoodle, Kenton E; Gourley, Wade R; Yager, Kristy D
Subject: [REDACTED]

All,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Thanks,

Amanda Carpenter
Deputy Municipal Counselor

From: [Balderrama, Juan F](#)
To: [Barnes, Franklin N](#); [Holt, David F](#); [Freeman, Craig A](#); [Tsoodle, Kenton E](#); [Johnson, Laura A](#); [McDermid, Aubrey E](#); [Gourley, Wade R](#); [Stewart, Patrick W](#); [Yager, Kristy D](#); [George, Audrea R](#)
Cc: [Taylor, Bryan E](#)
Subject: Re: EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIRECTOR
Date: Friday, September 18, 2020 8:14:19 PM

Thank you Frank. We appreciate all you do!

From: Barnes, Franklin N <franklin.barnes@okc.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 18, 2020 7:49:24 PM
To: Holt, David F <david.holt@okc.gov>; Freeman, Craig A <craig.freeman@okc.gov>; Tsoodle, Kenton E <Kenton.Tsoodle@okc.gov>; Johnson, Laura A <laura.johnson@okc.gov>; McDermid, Aubrey E <aubrey.mcdermid@okc.gov>; Gourley, Wade R <wade.gourley@okc.gov>; Balderrama, Juan F <juan.balderrama@okc.gov>; Stewart, Patrick W <patrick.stewart@okc.gov>; Yager, Kristy D <kristy.yager@okc.gov>; George, Audrea R <audrea.george@okc.gov>
Cc: Taylor, Bryan E <bryan.taylor@okc.gov>
Subject: EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIRECTOR

Effective immediately I will resume my duties and responsibilities of Emergency Management Director for the City of Oklahoma City.

[REDACTED] and will be teleworking I have made arrangements for someone to respond to any scenes in the field if the need arises.

Franklin N. Barnes M.S., CEM
Emergency Management Director
Oklahoma City Office of Emergency Management
700 Colcord Dr.
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
405-605-8981 (Office)
[REDACTED] (Cell)
franklin.barnes@okc.gov

From: [Balderrama, Juan F](#)
To: [Barnes, Franklin N](#); [Holt, David F](#); [Freeman, Craig A](#); [Tsoodle, Kenton E](#); [McDermid, Aubrey E](#); [Johnson, Laura A](#); [2020 - City Council](#); shimeka.mack@oem.ok.gov; kimberly.obrien2; [Coffman, Mary Jane](#); [Norris, Jarrod D](#)
Cc: [Taylor, Bryan E](#); [Ray, Sharon J](#); [Gulikers, Jennifer N](#); [Chaverri, Maria](#); [Love, Richard M Jr](#); [Grubbs, Scott D](#); [Gourley, Wade R](#); [Stewart, Patrick W](#)
Subject: Re: OKC OEM - SITUATION REPORT - DAMAGE ASSESSMENT FROM AUG 31-SEP 1 FLASH FLOODING
Date: Monday, September 7, 2020 2:23:31 PM

A big thanks Frank, to you and your team for doing this work.

From: Barnes, Franklin N <franklin.barnes@okc.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2020 11:58:27 AM
To: Holt, David F <david.holt@okc.gov>; Freeman, Craig A <craig.freeman@okc.gov>; Tsoodle, Kenton E <Kenton.Tsoodle@okc.gov>; McDermid, Aubrey E <aubrey.mcdermid@okc.gov>; Johnson, Laura A <laura.johnson@okc.gov>; 2020 - City Council <2020CityCouncil@okc.gov>; shimeka.mack@oem.ok.gov <shimeka.mack@oem.ok.gov>; kimberly.obrien2 <kimberly.obrien2@redcross.org>; Coffman, Mary Jane <maryjane.coffman@redcross.org>; Norris, Jarrod D <jarrold.norris@okc.gov>
Cc: Taylor, Bryan E <bryan.taylor@okc.gov>; Ray, Sharon J <sharon.ray@okc.gov>; Gulikers, Jennifer N <jennifer.gulikers@okc.gov>; Chaverri, Maria <maria.chaverri@okc.gov>; Love, Richard M Jr <richard.love@okc.gov>; Grubbs, Scott D <scott.grubbs@okc.gov>; Gourley, Wade R <wade.gourley@okc.gov>; Balderrama, Juan F <juan.balderrama@okc.gov>; Stewart, Patrick W <patrick.stewart@okc.gov>
Subject: OKC OEM - SITUATION REPORT - DAMAGE ASSESSMENT FROM AUG 31-SEP 1 FLASH FLOODING

Last week on Monday night into Tuesday morning August 31 – September 1, 2020 a series of severe thunderstorms passed over the OKC Metro. The National Weather Service issued multiple severe thunderstorm warnings and various areal flood advisories and warnings. Some of these storms produced heavy localized rainfall resulting in excessive runoff and producing localized flash flooding. Flash flooding occurred in NW OKC specifically in the area of Silver Lake and Ski Island Lake. Unfortunately there was one drowning death associated with this flash flooding, the driver of a vehicle was swept away and drown.

Last week Oklahoma City Office of Emergency Management staff conducted a damage assessment following FEMA PDA Guidance from May 2020. Below are the results of the damage assessment. We do not try to identify cause, we simply try to identify where the flood waters came from or why a particular address flooded when others didn't.

The damage assessment uses standardized FEMA criteria to classify the damage into one of four damage classifications. Keep in mind that even Minor flood damage causes damage requiring a lot of work to clean up, remediate, and repair.

There is insufficient damage to seek federal disaster assistance.

We split the damaged structures into residences and businesses. There is a list below the tables of

infrastructure damage which was reported by Mike Love Jr and Jarrod Norris.

Residences Damaged

House Number	Street	Damage Classification	Description	Source of Flood Waters
10508	Ski Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 6 inches in depth. Floor and interior walls damaged.	Water entered residence from the street (Ski Dr) due to heavy rain.
6200	South Shore Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 3 inches in depth. Floor damaged.	Ski Island lake. Water came over south retaining wall and entered residence. south part of lake is higher than north part of lake.
6204	South Shore Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 3 inches in depth. Floor and HVAC unit damaged	Ski Island lake. Water came over south retaining wall and entered residence. South part of lake is higher than north part of lake.
6208	South Shore Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 4 inches in depth. Floor and interior walls damaged.	Ski Island lake. Water came over south retaining wall and entered residence. South part of lake is higher than north part of lake.
6212	South Shore Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 13 inches in depth. Floor, interior walls, and HVAC unit damaged.	Ski Island lake. Water came over south retaining wall and entered residence. South part of lake is higher than north part of lake.
6218	South Shore Drive	Major	Water throughout house, 20 inches in depth. Floor, interior walls, exterior walls, HVAC unit, and electrical damage. Water caused door and part of wall to detach and push away from the rest of the residence.	Ski Island lake. Water came over south retaining wall and entered residence. South part of lake is higher than north part of lake.

6220	South Shore Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 1 inch in depth. Floor damaged.	Ski Island lake. Water came over south retaining wall and entered residence. South part of lake is higher than north part of lake.
6300	South Shore Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 5 inches in depth. Floor and interior walls damaged.	Ski Island lake. Water came over south retaining wall and entered residence. South part of lake is higher than north part of lake.
9920	Harbor Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 6 inches in depth. Floor damaged. Flooding in detached shed (no property inside).	Ski Island lake. Property is located on south side of lake. Lake level rose and flooded residence and detached garage.

Businesses Damaged

Building Number	Street	Damage Classification	Description	Source of flood waters
6959	NW Expressway	Minor	Water throughout sales floor, approximately 1 inch in depth. Floor damaged. Several cardboard signs damaged. No known cost estimate at time of assessment.	Water entered business from south side through doors. Source unknown other than heavy rainfall. Business was closed at time of flooding.
6957	NW Expressway	Affected	Water on floor, depth unknown. Small section of floor damaged from standing water. No known cost estimate at time of assessment. Employee did not know flooding occurred.	Water entered business from south side through doors. Source unknown other than heavy rainfall. Business was closed at time of flooding.

Infrastructure Damage:

1. Eastern Control house (601 American Indian Blvd) - struck by lightning. This caused a malfunction of the Eastern Ave. Dam gates resulting the release of all water in the Eastern Basin, but no downstream flooding occurred as the released water was confined within the banks of the N. Canadian River.

2. Street washouts:

- a. North MacArthur Blvd and Woodbridge Road – severe washout next to reinforced concrete box.
- b. NW 10th and Frisco – street washout.

Franklin N. Barnes M.S., CEM
Emergency Management Director
Oklahoma City Office of Emergency Management
700 Colcord Dr.
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
405-605-8981 (Office)
[REDACTED] (Cell)
franklin.barnes@okc.gov

From: [Gourley, Wade R](#)
To: [Balderrama, Juan F](#); [Barnes, Franklin N](#); [Holt, David F](#); [Freeman, Craig A](#); [Tsoodle, Kenton E](#); [McDermid, Aubrey E](#); [Johnson, Laura A](#); [2020 - City Council](#); shimeka.mack@oem.ok.gov; [kimberly.obrien2](#); [Coffman, Mary Jane](#); [Norris, Jarrod D](#)
Cc: [Taylor, Bryan E](#); [Ray, Sharon J](#); [Gulikers, Jennifer N](#); [Chaverri, Maria](#); [Love, Richard M Jr](#); [Grubbs, Scott D](#); [Stewart, Patrick W](#)
Subject: Re: OKC OEM - SITUATION REPORT - DAMAGE ASSESSMENT FROM AUG 31-SEP 1 FLASH FLOODING
Date: Monday, September 7, 2020 3:31:26 PM

Thanks everyone for all your hard work!

Wade Gourley
Office: 405-316-4770
Mobile: [REDACTED]

From: Balderrama, Juan F <juan.balderrama@okc.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2020 2:23 PM
To: Barnes, Franklin N; Holt, David F; Freeman, Craig A; Tsoodle, Kenton E; McDermid, Aubrey E; Johnson, Laura A; 2020 - City Council; shimeka.mack@oem.ok.gov; [kimberly.obrien2](#); Coffman, Mary Jane; Norris, Jarrod D
Cc: Taylor, Bryan E; Ray, Sharon J; Gulikers, Jennifer N; Chaverri, Maria; Love, Richard M Jr; Grubbs, Scott D; Gourley, Wade R; Stewart, Patrick W
Subject: Re: OKC OEM - SITUATION REPORT - DAMAGE ASSESSMENT FROM AUG 31-SEP 1 FLASH FLOODING

A big thanks Frank, to you and your team for doing this work.

From: Barnes, Franklin N <franklin.barnes@okc.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 7, 2020 11:58:27 AM
To: Holt, David F <david.holt@okc.gov>; Freeman, Craig A <craig.freeman@okc.gov>; Tsoodle, Kenton E <Kenton.Tsoodle@okc.gov>; McDermid, Aubrey E <aubrey.mcdermid@okc.gov>; Johnson, Laura A <laura.johnson@okc.gov>; 2020 - City Council <2020CityCouncil@okc.gov>; shimeka.mack@oem.ok.gov <shimeka.mack@oem.ok.gov>; [kimberly.obrien2](#) <kimberly.obrien2@redcross.org>; Coffman, Mary Jane <maryjane.coffman@redcross.org>; Norris, Jarrod D <jarrod.norris@okc.gov>
Cc: Taylor, Bryan E <bryan.taylor@okc.gov>; Ray, Sharon J <sharon.ray@okc.gov>; Gulikers, Jennifer N <jennifer.gulikers@okc.gov>; Chaverri, Maria <maria.chaverri@okc.gov>; Love, Richard M Jr <richard.love@okc.gov>; Grubbs, Scott D <scott.grubbs@okc.gov>; Gourley, Wade R <wade.gourley@okc.gov>; Balderrama, Juan F <juan.balderrama@okc.gov>; Stewart, Patrick W <patrick.stewart@okc.gov>
Subject: OKC OEM - SITUATION REPORT - DAMAGE ASSESSMENT FROM AUG 31-SEP 1 FLASH FLOODING

Last week on Monday night into Tuesday morning August 31 – September 1, 2020 a series of severe thunderstorms passed over the OKC Metro. The National Weather Service issued multiple severe

thunderstorm warnings and various areal flood advisories and warnings. Some of these storms produced heavy localized rainfall resulting in excessive runoff and producing localized flash flooding. Flash flooding occurred in NW OKC specifically in the area of Silver Lake and Ski Island Lake. Unfortunately there was one drowning death associated with this flash flooding, the driver of a vehicle was swept away and drown.

Last week Oklahoma City Office of Emergency Management staff conducted a damage assessment following FEMA PDA Guidance from May 2020. Below are the results of the damage assessment. We do not try to identify cause, we simply try to identify where the flood waters came from or why a particular address flooded when others didn't.

The damage assessment uses standardized FEMA criteria to classify the damage into one of four damage classifications. Keep in mind that even Minor flood damage causes damage requiring a lot of work to clean up, remediate, and repair.

There is insufficient damage to seek federal disaster assistance.

We split the damaged structures into residences and businesses. There is a list below the tables of infrastructure damage which was reported by Mike Love Jr and Jarrod Norris.

Residences Damaged

House Number	Street	Damage Classification	Description	Source of Flood Waters
10508	Ski Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 6 inches in depth. Floor and interior walls damaged.	Water entered residence from the street (Ski Dr) due to heavy rain.
6200	South Shore Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 3 inches in depth. Floor damaged.	Ski Island lake. Water came over south retaining wall and entered residence. south part of lake is higher than north part of lake.
6204	South Shore Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 3 inches in depth. Floor and HVAC unit damaged	Ski Island lake. Water came over south retaining wall and entered residence. South part of lake is higher than north part of lake.
6208	South Shore Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 4 inches in depth. Floor and interior walls damaged.	Ski Island lake. Water came over south retaining wall and entered residence. South part of lake is

				higher than north part of lake.
6212	South Shore Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 13 inches in depth. Floor, interior walls, and HVAC unit damaged.	Ski Island lake. Water came over south retaining wall and entered residence. South part of lake is higher than north part of lake.
6218	South Shore Drive	Major	Water throughout house, 20 inches in depth. Floor, interior walls, exterior walls, HVAC unit, and electrical damage. Water caused door and part of wall to detach and push away from the rest of the residence.	Ski Island lake. Water came over south retaining wall and entered residence. South part of lake is higher than north part of lake.
6220	South Shore Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 1 inch in depth. Floor damaged.	Ski Island lake. Water came over south retaining wall and entered residence. South part of lake is higher than north part of lake.
6300	South Shore Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 5 inches in depth. Floor and interior walls damaged.	Ski Island lake. Water came over south retaining wall and entered residence. South part of lake is higher than north part of lake.
9920	Harbor Drive	Minor	Water in majority of house, 6 inches in depth. Floor damaged. Flooding in detached shed (no property inside).	Ski Island lake. Property is located on south side of lake. Lake level rose and flooded residence and detached garage.

Businesses Damaged

Building Number	Street	Damage Classification	Description	Source of flood waters
6959	NW Expressway	Minor	Water throughout sales floor, approximately 1 inch in depth. Floor damaged. Several	Water entered business from south side through doors. Source unknown

			cardboard signs damaged. No known cost estimate at time of assessment.	other than heavy rainfall. Business was closed at time of flooding.
6957	NW Expressway	Affected	Water on floor, depth unknown. Small section of floor damaged from standing water. No known cost estimate at time of assessment. Employee did not know flooding occurred.	Water entered business from south side through doors. Source unknown other than heavy rainfall. Business was closed at time of flooding.

Infrastructure Damage:

1. Eastern Control house (601 American Indian Blvd) - struck by lightning. This caused a malfunction of the Eastern Ave. Dam gates resulting the release of all water in the Eastern Basin, but no downstream flooding occurred as the released water was confined within the banks of the N. Canadian River.
2. Street washouts:
 - a. North MacArthur Blvd and Woodbridge Road – severe washout next to reinforced concrete box.
 - b. NW 10th and Frisco – street washout.

Franklin N. Barnes M.S., CEM
Emergency Management Director
Oklahoma City Office of Emergency Management
700 Colcord Dr.
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
405-605-8981 (Office)
[REDACTED] (Cell)
franklin.barnes@okc.gov

From: [Gourley, Wade R](#)
To: [Cooper, James F](#); [Fulton, Boyd](#); [Tsoodle, Kenton E](#)
Cc: [Bennett, Randy W](#); [Holt, David F](#)
Subject: RE: Police Reports
Date: Monday, October 19, 2020 9:57:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Records Supervisor reached out to her. We found out she was actually contacted within 24 hours of her request for a report and was provided assistance. She did not like the inconvenience but it turns out the inconvenience was due to COVID restrictions for in person requests at headquarters and not due to the process of obtaining a report. In her original contact with Records personnel they offered to mail her the request form if she did not have access to a computer. It was also discovered the officer who worked the accident failed to put the other person's insurance information on the citizen assistance form. This would have prevented her issues with getting the information to her insurance agent. The officer who worked the wreck was provided training on how to properly fill out this form in the future.

Wade Gourley
Office: 405-316-4770
Mobile: [REDACTED]

From: Cooper, James F <james.cooper1@okc.gov>
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2020 7:40 PM
To: Fulton, Boyd <boyd.fulton@okc.gov>; Gourley, Wade R <wade.gourley@okc.gov>; Tsoodle, Kenton E <Kenton.Tsoodle@okc.gov>
Cc: Bennett, Randy W <randy.bennett@okc.gov>; Holt, David F <david.holt@okc.gov>
Subject: Re: Police Reports

Thanks for your updates, everyone. Chief, will you keep our Ward 2 office and me posted, please, after someone reaches out to her? Thanks!

Please take care, everyone, and stay safe!

Best,
James

From: Fulton, Boyd <boyd.fulton@okc.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 14, 2020 7:55:36 AM
To: Gourley, Wade R <wade.gourley@okc.gov>; Tsoodle, Kenton E <Kenton.Tsoodle@okc.gov>
Cc: Cooper, James F <james.cooper1@okc.gov>; Bennett, Randy W <randy.bennett@okc.gov>
Subject: RE: Police Reports

Thanks, Chief!

Boyd Fulton
Special Assistant to the Council
Council Support



boyd.fulton@okc.gov | 405-297-2402 | 200 N. Walker, 3rd Fl | Oklahoma City, OK 73102 | <http://www.okc.gov/>

From: Gourley, Wade R <wade.gourley@okc.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 14, 2020 7:55 AM
To: Ward2 <ward2@okc.gov>; Tsoodle, Kenton E <Kenton.Tsoodle@okc.gov>
Cc: Cooper, James F <james.cooper1@okc.gov>; Bennett, Randy W <randy.bennett@okc.gov>
Subject: Re: Police Reports

I will have someone contact her. She is right. It is a cumbersome process but the Department of Public Safety took over our accident reporting a few years back. The reports have to go through a process of submittal to DPS. This process also includes the report not being fully submitted into the system until a review is completed and it is accepted. This sometimes leads to a back and forth, which can also delay the process. I will have our records folks also look to see if there is anything we can do on our end to help with this situation.

Wade Gourley
Office: 405-316-4770
Mobile: [REDACTED]

From: "Fulton, Boyd" <boyd.fulton@okc.gov> on behalf of Ward2 <ward2@okc.gov>
Date: Wednesday, October 14, 2020 at 7:42 AM
To: "Tsoodle, Kenton E" <Kenton.Tsoodle@okc.gov>, Wade R Gourley <wade.gourley@okc.gov>
Cc: "Cooper, James F" <james.cooper1@okc.gov>, "Bennett, Randy W" <randy.bennett@okc.gov>
Subject: FW: Police Reports

Hello Kenny and Chief,
I'm sure you'll see this twice, since it was originally sent to the Mayor. Can one of your reply to Nancy? Please advise.
Thank you –

Boyd Fulton
Special Assistant to the Council
Council Support



boyd.fulton@okc.gov | 405-297-2402 | 200 N. Walker, 3rd Fl | Oklahoma City, OK 73102 | <http://www.okc.gov/>

From: Nancy Ayers <ngeneayers@cox.net>

Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2020 9:48 PM

To: Ward1 <ward1@okc.gov>

Cc: Ward2 <ward2@okc.gov>

Subject: Fwd: Police Reports

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Nancy Ayers <ngeneayers@cox.net>

Date: October 13, 2020 at 7:17:35 PM CDT

To: mayor@okc.gov

Subject: Police Reports

Mayor Holt, I am sending this note to ask you if you are aware of how difficult it is to obtain a police report following a traffic accident. I was involved in a three car rear end collision a week ago today. The person that caused the accident jumped out of his car and ran away. The woman he hit crashed into me. I was given a Oklahoma City Police Department Citizen's Assistance Report. It had the officer's last name, division, nature of the case, O.C.P.D. case number and the date of the occurrence. It stated that police reports may be obtained from the Bureau of Records. The officer took my driver's license information along with my insurance information. He advised me that all the information I needed was on the Assistance Form.

When I contacted the Bureau Of Records I was told that I had to request a copy of the accident report. I was told it could not be picked up at the police station as they were locked down due to the virus. I could obtain the form on line, fill it out send it back and then I would get the information I needed to request the accident report in an email. Also informed it would depend on how soon the officer completed his report. Advised that could take two to three days. Once they provided me with the information I would need, I was told to mail it back along with the fee. They do not accept cash, checks or credit cards. The process of obtaining the form online was not user friendly. What do people do, ie senior citizens that do not have access to modern technology nor the ability to use it. Anyway it is now one week later and neither myself, my insurance agent or my insurance companies main office have been able to obtain the needed information. So I do not have the names or insurance information concerning the two other parties involved.

Is this actually the best Oklahoma City can do? I also feel that having to pay a fee is a cheap shot and not made easy to do.

Thank you for making it possible to share my concerns

Nancy Ayers
Sent from my iPad

From: [Holt, David F](#)
To: annalengthorn@gmail.com
Cc: [Gourley, Wade R](#); [Blumert, Carrie](#)
Subject: RE: Regarding my comments at yesterday's City Council Meeting
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:28:09 AM

Anna –

Thank you for your message. Understood.

I have cced Chief Gourley.

All my best,
David

From: Anna Langthorn <annalengthorn@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 4, 2020 1:54 PM
To: The Mayor <mayor@okc.gov>
Cc: Blumert, Carrie <carrie.blumert@oklahomacounty.org>
Subject: Regarding my comments at yesterday's City Council Meeting

Mayor Holt,

Thank you for taking the many hours yesterday to listen to your constituents. I know this is a challenging situation. You always do an excellent job of creating space for people to express themselves.

During my comments, I failed to mention that I was speaking as a resident of Oklahoma City, not as an employee of Oklahoma County or a representative of Oklahoma County. I would like to clarify now that that is the case. In fact, I have taken PTO for the entire day of 6/2/20.

Thank you again for taking the time to listen.

Anna Langthorn
1408 N Drexel Blvd
Oklahoma City, OK 73107

P.S. Can you give me an email address for Chief Gourley? I would like to send him a similar message.

From: [Holt, David F](#)
To: [Prater, David](#); [Gourley, Wade R](#)
Subject: Re: [REDACTED]
Date: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 9:53:32 PM

[REDACTED]

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Prater, David <dprater@oklahomacounty.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 3:26:56 PM
To: Holt, David F <david.holt@okc.gov>; Gourley, Wade R <wade.gourley@okc.gov>
Subject: [REDACTED]

Mayor and Chief:

[REDACTED]

David Prater

Re: Pending Litigation/Notice to Preserve

December 22, 2020 at 11:22 AM

From Jess Eddy

To "Carpenter, Amanda B"

Amanda,

Am I to understand the City has changed its position and does not intend to provide the unredacted documents?

—Jess

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 21, 2020, at 5:00 PM, Jess Eddy <jesseddy@icloud.com> wrote:

Hi Amanda,

I don't believe I have received the records at this time.

Thank you,

Jess Eddy

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 19, 2020, at 12:39 PM, Jess Eddy <jesseddy@icloud.com> wrote:

Thank you Amanda.

In that event, the City should not anticipate litigation on my behalf.

Jess

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 18, 2020, at 6:51 PM, Carpenter, Amanda B <amanda.carpenter@okc.gov> wrote:

The City intends to provide you an unredacted version of the document on Monday afternoon.

Thank you.

Amanda

From: Jess Eddy <jesseddy@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2020 9:59:01 AM
To: Carpenter, Amanda B <amanda.carpenter@okc.gov>
Cc: Burnett, Jill A <jill.burnett@okc.gov>; Kersey, Frances W <frances.kersey@okc.gov>
Subject: Re: Pending Litigation/Notice to Preserve

Hi Amanda,

I'm not sure there is much to talk about. I expect to be sent the unredacted versions of the emails I've requested to remedy the ongoing violation. But you can call me at 405.627.1801 between 1 and 2 or after 3:00 today, if you'd like to have further discussion.

Thank you,

Jess Eddy

On Dec 17, 2020, at 11:19 PM, Carpenter, Amanda B <amanda.carpenter@okc.gov> wrote:

Mr. Eddy,

Please provide a good contact number so that I may call and discuss this matter with you tomorrow (12/18).

Thank you

Amanda Carpenter
Deputy Municipal Counselor

From: Burnett, Jill A <jill.burnett@okc.gov>
Sent: Thursday, December 17, 2020 4:00 PM
To: Carpenter, Amanda B <amanda.carpenter@okc.gov>
Subject: FW: Pending Litigation/Notice to Preserve

FYI from Jess Eddy below. Thanks.

From: Jess Eddy <jesseddy@icloud.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 17, 2020 3:55 PM
To: Burnett, Jill A <jill.burnett@okc.gov>
Cc: City Clerk Email <CityClerk@okc.gov>
Subject: Pending Litigation/Notice to Preserve

Jill,

In light of the City's violations of the Open Records Act and failure to remedy the

violation with respect to my Nov. 5th request (titled, "Part 4"), I receive the omission by the City to remediate the violations to constitute a refusal to operate according to the law. Responsively, this is to advise the City to anticipate litigation.

The City has a duty to preserve all records related to my open record request and correspondence concerning the deficiencies. All City employees who performed any acts related to these events should be promptly advised of their duty to preserve any and all documentation that relates in any way to these matters.

Thank you,

Jess Eddy

On Dec 17, 2020, at 10:36 AM, Jess Eddy <jesseddy@icloud.com> wrote:

Hi Jill,

Thanks for letting me know. I appreciate that.

I'll look forward to hearing more soon.

Thank you,

Jess

On Dec 17, 2020, at 8:00 AM, Burnett, Jill A
<jill.burnett@okc.gov> wrote:

Hi Jess,

I'm sorry I missed your phone call. Your voicemail went to my junk mail for some reason, so I didn't realize you had called until you sent this email. My apologies!

I have forwarded your concern to my supervisor, so this issue is under review and should be resolved today. Thank you for reaching out.

Jill Burnett

Assistant Municipal Counselor
City of Oklahoma City
200 N. Walker, 4th Floor
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
Phone: 405-297-2323

Fax: 405-316-2323

From: Jess Eddy <jesseddy@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2020 4:34 PM
To: Burnett, Jill A <jill.burnett@okc.gov>
Subject: Re: ORR-3733-2020

Hello Jill,

I reached out to you by telephone and left a voicemail a few hours ago. Regarding my general concerns of the City's failure to meet the standards of the law in neglecting to provide the basis for redaction of records responsive to my requests, I am particularly interested in that being remediated with respect to the email between David Prater and Mayor Holt/Wade Gourley. The City cannot redact or withhold requested records without having and establishing a legal basis for doing so.

I expect this situation to be resolved by Noon tomorrow, or the City can anticipate litigation.

If you would like to discuss these matters further, feel free to reach out.

Thank you,

Jess Eddy
405.627.1801

On Dec 16, 2020, at 2:24 PM, Green, Jennifer
<jennifer.day@okc.gov> wrote:

Hello:

Regarding our phone conversation today, I have sent your inquiry to the Municipal Counselors Office for reply, please see below.

Thank you,

Jennifer Green, CA, MLIS
Municipal Archivist
Office of the City Clerk
The City of Oklahoma City

jennifer.green@okc.gov
405-297-2427

From: Green, Jennifer <jennifer.day@okc.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2020 2:09 PM
To: Burnett, Jill A <jill.burnett@okc.gov>
Subject: Fw: ORR-3733-2020

Jill:

Please see the email from the requester below, they are seeking more information regarding the redactions of the records released today. Can someone from the municipal counselors office contact him?

Thank you,

Jennifer

From: Jess Eddy <jesseddy@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2020 12:17 PM
To: Green, Jennifer <jennifer.day@okc.gov>
Subject: Re: ORR-3733-2020

Hi Jennifer,

Thank you for your email. I have reviewed the documents provided in response to my records. There are several portions with substantial redaction without explanation. I need to be informed of the legal basis for all redaction. Presently, the City is not in compliance with established ORR law, and I expect this to be remedied immediately.

Thank you,

Jess Eddy
405.627.1801

On Dec 16, 2020, at 8:30 AM, Jennifer Green (Oklahoma City, OK) <OklahomaCityOK@justfoia.com> wrote:

Jess Eddy,

Parts 2 and 4 of your request for records have been completed and are available to download.

Please click [Request Number: ORR-3733-2020](#) and search with 377425 to retrieve your requested records.

Legal review is still underway for parts 1 and 3, the projected date of completion from the Municipal Counselors Office is December 31, 2020.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or concerns about your request.

Thank you,
Jennifer Green
405-297-2427
Office of the City Clerk

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This e-mail message and any attachments are intended solely for the person to which it is addressed and may contain privileged and confidential information protected by law. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or e-mail, destroy this message and delete any copies held in your electronic files. Unauthorized use and/or re-disclosure may subject you to penalties under applicable state and federal laws.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This e-mail message and any attachments are intended solely for the person to which it is addressed and may contain privileged and confidential information protected by law. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or e-mail, destroy this message and delete any copies held in your electronic files. Unauthorized use and/or re-disclosure may subject you to penalties under applicable state and federal laws.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This e-mail message and any attachments are intended solely for the person to which it is addressed and may contain privileged and confidential information protected by law. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or e-mail, destroy this message and delete any copies held in your electronic files. Unauthorized use and/or re-disclosure may subject you to penalties under applicable state and federal laws.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This e-mail message and any attachments are intended solely for the person to which it is addressed and may contain privileged and confidential information protected by law. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or e-mail, destroy this message and delete any copies held in your electronic files. Unauthorized use and/or re-disclosure may subject you to penalties under applicable state and federal laws.

Fwd: Threatened Litigation FW: ORR-3733-2020

December 22, 2020 at 11:23 AM

From "Carpenter, Amanda B"

To Jess Eddy

 [Pages from...rking File.pdf](#) 263.79 KB

Mr. Eddy,

The email is attached.

Thanks

Amanda

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This e-mail message and any attachments are intended solely for the person to which it is addressed and may contain privileged and confidential information protected by law. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or e-mail, destroy this message and delete any copies held in your electronic files. Unauthorized use and/or re-disclosure may subject you to penalties under applicable state and federal laws.

From: [Holt, David F](#)
To: [Prater, David](#); [Gourley, Wade R](#)
Subject: Re: Sensitive information
Date: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 9:53:32 PM

Thank you.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Prater, David <dprater@oklahomacounty.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 3:26:56 PM
To: Holt, David F <david.holt@okc.gov>; Gourley, Wade R <wade.gourley@okc.gov>
Subject: Sensitive information

Mayor and Chief:

Attached is a photo of Eric Ruffin. This suspect is directly involved in burning of the OKSO van and other vandalism that occurred downtown. I was advised that he is now trying to pose as a peaceful community activist who may attend an event or events with you in the near future. This guy is a Hoover gang member or affiliate. He is dangerous and should not be allowed anywhere near you. Just an FYI. Please keep this information protected. We will be charging him in the near future. I don't want him to abscond.

David Prater

Off: First name	Off: Last name	Off: Badge/ID number	Act: Action taken	Inc: Occurred date
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	01/04/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	01/10/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	01/11/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class II Reprimand	01/15/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class II Reprimand	01/15/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	01/20/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class II Reprimand	01/20/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	01/21/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	01/21/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	01/25/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	01/26/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	01/28/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	02/01/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	02/04/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	02/07/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	02/09/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	02/11/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	02/11/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	02/15/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Suspension W/O Pay (SUSPENDED)	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	1073	Class III Reprimand	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	02/19/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	02/20/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	02/26/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class II Reprimand	02/28/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	02/28/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class II Reprimand	03/01/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	03/01/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	03/02/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	03/03/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	03/03/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	03/04/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	03/06/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	03/07/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	03/07/2016
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Class I Reprimand	03/07/2016

RELEASED BY [REDACTED] RECORD
 Oklahoma City Police Department
 Capt. Taylor Dinh
 Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

03/07/2016
03/08/2016
03/09/2016
03/10/2016
03/12/2016
03/12/2016
03/17/2016
03/19/2016
03/19/2016
03/24/2016
03/25/2016
03/27/2016

Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

Suspension from Take-Home Program

03/29/2016
03/29/2016
03/29/2016
03/30/2016
03/30/2016

Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

04/01/2016

Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

04/05/2016
04/05/2016
04/06/2016
04/06/2016
04/08/2016
04/10/2016
04/12/2016
04/12/2016

Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

04/12/2016
04/13/2016
04/14/2016
04/19/2016
04/21/2016

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

[REDACTED]

RELEASE BY RECORDS
Oklahoma City Police Department
Capt. Taylor Dinh
Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

12/09/2016
12/09/2016
12/09/2016
12/09/2016
12/11/2016

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class III Reprimand
Probation

12/14/2016
12/14/2016
12/16/2016
12/17/2016
12/20/2016
12/21/2016
12/21/2016
12/23/2016
12/23/2016
12/24/2016
12/24/2016

Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

01/27/2017
01/13/2016

Class I Reprimand
Class III Reprimand
Transfer

01/09/2017
01/19/2017
01/20/2017

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

01/23/2017

Class I Reprimand

01/23/2017
01/27/2017
01/28/2017
02/01/2017
01/28/2017

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class III Reprimand

Suspension W/O Pay (IMPLEMENTED)

Probation
Transfer

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] 1763

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Jones

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Jacob

RELEASED BY
Oklahoma City Police Department
Capt. Taylor Dinh
Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

Removal from FTO			02/03/2017
Class II Reprimand			02/07/2017
Class II Reprimand			
Class I Reprimand			12/13/2016
Class I Reprimand			02/14/2017
Class I Reprimand			02/14/2017
Class II Reprimand			02/20/2017
Class I Reprimand			02/20/2017
Class I Reprimand			02/21/2017
Class I Reprimand			02/22/2017
Class I Reprimand			02/23/2017
Class I Reprimand			02/24/2017
Class I Reprimand			02/25/2017
Class II Reprimand			02/26/2017
Class I Reprimand			03/01/2017
Class I Reprimand			03/02/2017
Class I Reprimand			03/04/2017
Class I Reprimand			03/05/2017
Class I Reprimand			03/09/2017
Class I Reprimand			03/09/2017
Class III Reprimand			03/10/2017
Suspension w/o pay implemented			
Class I Reprimand			03/14/2017
Class I Reprimand			11/28/2016
Class I Reprimand			11/03/2016
Class II Reprimand			12/23/2016
Class I Reprimand			03/21/2017
Class I Reprimand			02/10/2017
Class I Reprimand			03/22/2017
Class I Reprimand			01/22/2017
Class I Reprimand			03/26/2017
Class I Reprimand			03/26/2017

[Redacted]

[Redacted] Shellenberger

[Redacted] Aaron

RELEASED BY RECORDS
Oklahoma City Police Department
Capt. Taylor Dinh
Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

05/24/2017
05/24/2017
04/17/2017

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

02/07/2017

Class I Reprimand

05/26/2017
05/30/2017
06/06/2017
03/10/2017

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class III Reprimand

Suspension W/O Pay (IMPLEMENTED)

05/10/2017
06/04/2017
06/15/2017
06/16/2017
06/13/2017

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class III Reprimand

Transfer

Probation

06/19/2017
06/21/2017
06/23/2017

Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

06/27/2017
06/30/2017
06/30/2017
07/05/2017
07/02/2015
07/06/2017

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class III Reprimand

Probation

07/07/2017
07/08/2017
07/08/2017
07/10/2017
07/14/2017

Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] 2035

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Shellenberger

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Aaron

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

RELEASE BY RECORDS
Oklahoma City Police Department
Capt. Taylor Dinh
Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

07/14/2017
08/01/2016
03/06/2017

Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

07/26/2017
08/01/2017
08/01/2017
08/02/2017
08/03/2017
06/25/2017

Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

08/07/2017
08/07/2017
08/09/2017
08/10/2017
08/14/2017
08/20/2017
08/23/2017
06/06/2017

Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

06/06/2017
08/26/2017
09/01/2017
09/03/2017
07/27/2017
09/26/2017
09/12/2017
09/13/2017
09/16/2017
09/19/2017
09/19/2017

Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

09/20/2017
09/26/2017
09/27/2017
09/27/2017
09/28/2017

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

[REDACTED]

RELEASE BY RECORDS
Oklahoma City Police Department
Capt. Taylor Dinh
Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Class III Reprimand
Probation
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class III Reprimand

[REDACTED] 2029

[REDACTED] Peck

[REDACTED] Sidney

Suspension W/O Pay (IMPLEMENTED)
Suspension W/O Pay (SUSPENDED)

Probation
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

12/01/2017
12/07/2017
12/10/2017
12/10/2017
08/07/2017
12/14/2017
12/24/2017
12/27/2017
12/29/2017
12/30/2017
01/03/2018
01/03/2018
12/18/2017

12/14/2017
12/14/2017

12/14/2017
01/11/2018
01/12/2018
11/05/2017

01/17/2018
01/19/2018
01/20/2018
12/10/2017

01/23/2018
01/27/2018
01/27/2018
11/22/2017
11/22/2017
02/01/2018

RELEASE BY RECORDS
Oklahoma City Police Department
Capt. Taylor Dinh
Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

02/04/2018
02/08/2018
02/08/2018
02/09/2018
02/12/2018
02/14/2018
02/15/2018
02/16/2018
02/07/2018
02/16/2018

Class I Reprimand
Class III Reprimand

02/20/2018
03/20/2018
02/24/2018
02/24/2018
02/26/2018
02/26/2018
02/26/2018
02/27/2018
01/30/2018
03/15/2018
03/17/2018
03/30/2018
03/30/2018
03/31/2018
04/04/2018

Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

01/20/2018
04/05/2018
04/06/2018
04/05/2018
04/16/2018
04/16/2018
04/18/2018
04/19/2018
04/21/2018
04/23/2018

Class III Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

Transfer

Probation

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

RELEASE BY RECORDS
Oklahoma City Police Department
Capt. Taylor Dinh
Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

04/24/2018
04/11/2018
04/29/2018
04/29/2018
04/30/2018
05/02/2018
05/04/2018
01/09/2015

Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Terminated

05/09/2018
05/11/2018
05/15/2018
05/17/2018
05/19/2018
05/22/2018
05/24/2018
05/27/2018
05/31/2018
06/03/2018
06/04/2018
01/21/2018
04/24/2018

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

06/07/2018
05/30/2018
06/10/2018
06/17/2018
06/18/2018
06/21/2018
06/21/2018
06/22/2018

Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] 1598

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Hortness

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Christopher

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

RELEASE BY RECORDS
Oklahoma City Police Department
Capt. Taylor Dinh
Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

06/25/2018
06/26/2018
06/04/2018

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

06/27/2018

Class I Reprimand

06/29/2018

Class I Reprimand

07/04/2018

Class II Reprimand

07/09/2018

Class I Reprimand

07/09/2018

Class I Reprimand

07/11/2018

Class I Reprimand

07/12/2018

Class I Reprimand

07/12/2018

Class I Reprimand

07/16/2018

Class I Reprimand

07/17/2018

Class I Reprimand

07/17/2018

Class II Reprimand

07/20/2018

Class I Reprimand

07/25/2018

Class I Reprimand

07/28/2018

Class I Reprimand

08/03/2018

Class I Reprimand

08/05/2018

Class I Reprimand

08/05/2018

Class I Reprimand

08/10/2018

Class I Reprimand

08/10/2018

Class I Reprimand

08/10/2018

Class I Reprimand

08/13/2018

Class I Reprimand

08/07/2018

Class I Reprimand

08/07/2018

Class I Reprimand

08/15/2018

Class I Reprimand

08/15/2018

Class I Reprimand

07/15/2018

Class I Reprimand

08/17/2018

Class III Reprimand

Suspension W/O Pay (IMPLEMENTED)

Probation

08/20/2018

Class I Reprimand

08/21/2018

Class I Reprimand

08/23/2018

Class I Reprimand

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] 0928

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Ables

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Michael

[REDACTED]

RELEASE BY RECORDS
Oklahoma City Police Department
Capt. Taylor Dinh
Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

12/16/2018
12/18/2018
12/19/2018
12/19/2018
12/20/2018
12/23/2018
01/01/2019
01/05/2019
01/05/2019
08/15/2018

Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

01/12/2019
01/13/2019
01/21/2019
01/22/2019
01/24/2019
01/27/2019
01/27/2019
01/28/2019
01/28/2019
01/29/2019
01/30/2019
01/31/2019
01/31/2019
02/05/2019
02/05/2019
02/08/2019
02/09/2019
02/12/2019
02/13/2019
02/16/2019
02/16/2019
02/18/2019
02/25/2019
03/01/2019
03/04/2019
03/07/2019

Removal from FTO
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

RELEASED BY [REDACTED]
Oklahoma City Police Department
Capt. Taylor Dinh
Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

04/27/2019
04/29/2019
04/30/2019
05/04/2019
05/05/2019
05/05/2019
05/06/2019
05/07/2019
05/07/2019
05/09/2019
05/10/2019
05/11/2019
05/12/2019
05/13/2019
04/27/2019

Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Removal from FTO
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

05/14/2019
05/17/2019
05/17/2019
05/18/2019
05/19/2019
05/21/2019
05/22/2019
05/23/2019

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

05/23/2019
05/24/2019
05/26/2019
05/26/2019

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

05/28/2019
05/28/2019
05/30/2019
06/01/2019
06/01/2019
06/01/2019

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

[REDACTED]

RELEASE BY RECORDS
Oklahoma City Police Department
Capt. Taylor Dinh
Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

07/25/2019

Class I Reprimand

03/02/2018

Class III Reprimand
Probation
Transfer

07/30/2019
08/06/2019
08/07/2019
08/07/2019
07/01/2019

Suspension W/O Pay (IMPLEMENTED)

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Probation
Transfer

08/10/2019
08/12/2019
08/13/2019
08/14/2019
08/14/2019

Suspension W/O Pay (IMPLEMENTED)

Class III Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

08/14/2019
08/16/2019
08/17/2019
08/18/2019

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

08/20/2019

Class II Reprimand

08/22/2019
08/23/2019
08/11/2019

Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

08/24/2019
08/24/2019
08/24/2019
08/29/2019

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

[REDACTED]

2040

[REDACTED] 1166

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Terry

[REDACTED] Baxter

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Shane

[REDACTED] Everett

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

RELEASED BY RECORDS
Oklahoma City Police Department
Capt. Taylor Dinh
Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

08/29/2019
09/06/2019
09/06/2019
09/09/2019
09/10/2019

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

09/11/2019
06/10/2019

Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

09/14/2019
09/15/2019
09/18/2019
09/19/2019
09/20/2019
09/21/2019

Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

09/28/2019
09/29/2019
06/29/2019
09/18/2019

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

10/09/2019
10/10/2019
09/15/2019

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

10/12/2019
10/18/2019
10/20/2019
10/24/2019
10/26/2019
10/27/2019
10/28/2019

Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

10/29/2019
10/29/2019
10/30/2019
10/28/2019

Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

[REDACTED]

RELEASE BY [REDACTED] DS
Oklahoma City Police Department
Capt. Taylor Dinh
Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

12/27/2019
12/28/2019
12/31/2019
12/27/2019
12/27/2019
01/01/2020
01/05/2020
01/07/2020
01/07/2020

Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

01/09/2020
01/09/2020
01/10/2020
05/23/2019

Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class III Reprimand

Probation

Suspension W/O Pay (IMPLEMENTED)

Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

05/23/2019
05/23/2019
01/15/2020

Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

01/15/2020
01/17/2020
01/17/2020
01/17/2020
01/18/2020
01/24/2020
01/26/2020
01/31/2020
02/06/2020
11/29/2019
02/10/2020
02/10/2020
02/10/2020
02/12/2020

11/12/2019

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] 1829

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Carli

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Daniel

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

RELEASED BY [REDACTED]
Oklahoma City Police Department
Capt. Taylor Dinn
Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

06/13/2020

Class I Reprimand

[REDACTED]

06/03/2020
06/22/2020
06/26/2020
06/30/2020
06/30/2020
07/03/2020
07/06/2020
07/14/2020
07/05/2020
07/15/2020
07/17/2020
07/17/2020
07/19/2020
07/22/2020
07/25/2020
07/27/2020
07/28/2020
07/28/2020
07/29/2020
07/30/2020

Class III Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Demotion
Class I Reprimand
Class III Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand

[REDACTED] 2141 [REDACTED]

08/01/2020
08/02/2020
08/02/2020
07/15/2020

Class II Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class I Reprimand
Class II Reprimand

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

07/15/2020

Class I Reprimand

[REDACTED]

08/09/2020

Class I Reprimand

[REDACTED]

2141

Colmenares

Jose

RELEASED RECORDS
Oklahoma City Police Department
Capt. Taylor Dinh
Supt. Of Records

JAN 15 2021

Request #ORR-655-2021

This is a request for records of communications between any and all communications between city official(s) and person(s) employed by, associated with, or a representative of the National Basketball Associations (N.B.A.) and/or the Oklahoma City Thunder basketball organization between the dates of February 9, 2021 and February 18, 2021. This request includes but is not limited records of emails, text messages, or otherwise electronic means of transmitting information. This request also includes records identifying telephone call(s) having taken place within the specified time and meeting the criteria set forth above.

Details

Submitted

Thu, Feb 18, 2021

Status

In Progress

State

Oklahoma

Description

This is a request for records of communications between any and all communications between city official(s) and person(s) employed by, associated with, or a representative of the National Basketball Associations (N.B.A.) and/or the Oklahoma City Thunder basketball organization between the dates of February 9, 2021 and February 18, 2021. This request includes but is not limited records of emails, text messages, or otherwise electronic means of transmitting information. This request also includes records identifying telephone call(s) having taken place within the specified time and meeting the criteria set forth above.

Address

Address

419 W Hill St.

Phone

4056271801

Name

Jess Eddy

City

Oklahoma City

Email

jesseddy@icloud.com

Company

N/A

PurposeofRequest

OKC Resident

Zip

73118

Recordsrequestedas

Electronic records by email

Agreement to Fees

True

Requested Documents

File ↑	↑ Description	↑ Size
No documents have been added		
Rows per page: 10 ▾ - < >		

If you need assistance, please email us at cityclerk@okc.gov or call us at [405-297-2391](tel:405-297-2391)

This site is protected by reCAPTCHA and the Google [Privacy Policy](#) and [Terms of Service](#) apply.

ACCESSIBILITY

POWERED BY JUSTFOIA



New Request



Track



Search

From: JustFOIA Notification donotreply@request.justfoia.com
Subject: Records Request Confirmation
Date: February 18, 2021 at 12:25 PM
To: jesseddy@icloud.com



Your request for records, ORR-655-2021 has been received.

Your request security key is [688419](#).
Your request reference number is [Request Number: ORR-655-2021](#).

Please have this reference number available when communicating with our staff regarding your request.

Thank you,

The City of Oklahoma City

Requests to be processed by the Development Center may require approximately 14 business days to be completed. Records requiring legal review may require approximately 30 business days to be completed. All other requests for records will be completed within approximately 7 business days.

**Note: This is an automated email notification. Please do not respond to this email.*

From: Jennifer Green (Oklahoma City, OK) OklahomaCityOK@justfoia.com
Subject: ORR-655-2021
Date: February 18, 2021 at 1:03 PM
To: jesseddy@icloud.com



Jess Eddy,

We have received your request for records of The City of Oklahoma City.

In order to conduct a search for the correspondence records you have requested the Information Technology Department requires email addresses of the individuals. Please indicate the email for the individuals you are requesting. Further, a search term or email extension will also be needed to identify messages that contain the content you are requesting.

Thank you,

Jennifer Green
Office of the City Clerk